

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

ATTY.'S DOCKET: BEN-SASSON13A

In re Application of:	)	Art Unit: 1646
	)	
BEN-SASSON et al.	)	Examiner: G. Chandra
	)	
Appln. No.: 10/526,533	)	Washington, D.C.
	)	
Date Filed: January 18, 2006	)	Confirmation No. 6726
	)	
For: SHORT PEPTIDES FROM THE...	)	December 3, 2009

**STATEMENT OF SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW**

Honorable Commissioner for Patents  
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office  
Randolph Building, Mail Stop Amendments  
401 Dulany Street  
Alexandria, VA 22314

Sir:

An examiner's summary form was mailed with the supplemental notice of allowability on November 24, 2009, stating that applicant is given one-month from the mailing date of the form to file a statement of the substance of the interview. Although the continuation sheet of the interview summary form indicates that the applicants are not required to formally reply to this telephonic interview summary, which is inconsistent with the front page of the interview summary form, the undersigned nevertheless state that the entire substance of the examiner's interview was a request by applicants for approval of a proposed alternative to the language of claim 7 subpart (b) that was previously made by

In re of Appln. No. 10/526,533

the examiner's amendment mailed with the notice of allowance on October 16, 2009. The proposed claim language for claim 7 subpart (b) was as appears in the examiner's amendment of November 24, 2009.

Respectfully submitted,

BROWDY AND NEIMARK, P.L.L.C.  
Attorneys for Applicant(s)

By /ACY/  
Allen C. Yun  
Registration No. 37,971

ACY:pp  
Telephone No.: (202) 628-5197  
Facsimile No.: (202) 737-3528  
G:\BN\W\Webb\Ben-Sasson13A\Pto\2009-12-03StatementSubstanceInterview.doc